

T H E  
C A S E  
O F  
D<sup>R</sup>. J O H N J O N E S  
R E S P O N D E N T,  
Upon the A P P E A L of  
W I L L I A M B E A W, &c.

**T**HE Office of Chancellor, Principal Official, and Vicar-General of the Bishop of *Landasse* was, and is an Antient Office, and has been antiently before the Reign of Queen *Elizabeth*, usually grantable, and granted to Two Persons, to hold for their Lives, and the Life of the longer Liver of them.

7. Septemb.  
1671. *Francis* Lord Bishop of *Landasse*, by Letters Patents under his Episcopal Seal, granted this Office to Dr. *Richard Lloyd* and the Respondent *John Jones*; to hold to them joyntly and severally, and to the longer Liver of them. Which Grant was duly confirm'd by the Chapter of that Church, and accordingly the said Dr. *Richard Lloyd*, for several Years, by the Respondent's consent, quietly held and enjoyed the same.

20. March  
1674. The said Lord Bishop died, and Dr. *William Lloyd* succeeded in that Bishoprick; and upon his Translation to the Bishoprick of *Norwich*, Dr. *William Beaw* succeeded, and is now the present Lord Bishop of *Landasse*.

June 1686. The present Lord Bishop of *Landasse*, having in his Custody the Original Grant of this Office to Dr. *Richard Lloyd*, and the Respondent Constituted Commissioners to Execute the Office, and refused to admit the *Petitioner* to Execute and Enjoy the said Offices, as by Law he ought.

Whereupon the Respondent brought an Action at Law against the Appellant, and others for the Profits of the Office by them received; which Action, by the Death of One of the then Defendants abated.

Afterwards, the Respondent brought his Bill in Equity against the Appellant, to have an Account of, and Satisfaction for the Profits of the said Office, to which the Appellant answered, and confessed the Patent and Grant to the said Dr. *Richard Lloyd* and the Respondent; whereupon the Respondent proceeded to try his Right and Title to the said Office in an Action at the Common Law against the Appellant; and at *Gloucester-Assizes*, on full Evidence, obtained a Verdict, and had Judgment thereupon.

That afterwards the Court of *Chancery* Ordered, That a Trial at Bar should be had upon an Action of the Case for Trial of the Title of the said Office; whereupon, a Trial was had at the *Kings-Bench-Bar*; and upon full and long Evidence, a Verdict was given for the Respondent, and upon the Importunity of the Appellant's Counsel, the Case was Stated, and Argued before all the Judges of the said Court of *Kings-Bench*; who on Hearing several Arguments on both Sides, were all of Opinion, That the Letters-Patents and Grant made to Dr. *Richard Lloyd*, and the Respondent were good in Law; and that the Respondent was well Intituled to the said Office for his Life.

20 Novemb.  
1691. That the said Cause coming to be Heard in *Chancery*, on the Equity reserved in the Presence of Council on both Sides, the Lords-Commissioners were of the same Opinion; and Decreed an Account to be taken of the Profits of the said Office, and the Respondent to be quieted in the Possession and Enjoyment thereof.

*Which Decree, the Respondent hopes is Just; and that it shall be Confirmed.*